DRAFT WORKPLAN / OPEN ISSUES LIST Information Sharing and Local Planning Assumptions Workgroup

1.0	Deliverable: Increase understanding and transparency regarding development, review, and use of load forecasts for planning					
	Priority = High; Urgency = Medium / high					
				Lead / Key		
	Task	Status	Due Date	Contacts	Completed	
1.1		Draft survey distributed 9/25/08;				
	Research load forecasting processes, methodologies, and uses for system	Comments due 10/17/08. Discuss	12/15/08			
	planning in MI. Develop survey questions and adminster survey to load serving	comments at 10/28 meeting and	(surveys	Talberg,		
	entities, transmission owners, and RTOs.	finalize survey	completed)	others?		
1.2		<u>-</u>				
	forecasts, and recommend process improvements to increase transparency and	Planned for December meeting?				
	data exchange and address disputes, etc. Have presentations from LSEs,	Wrap-up process improvement				
	transmission owners, and RTOs on their roles related to forecasting and uses of	recommendations, if any, by	February			
	forecasts, as applicable.	February 2009	2009?	Talberg		
1.3						
	Develop matrix with key information on different forecast types. Also, develop	Drafts to be presented at December	January			
	diagram on sources, inputs, and uses of various forecasts used by RTOs.	meeting	2009?	Talberg		
1.4	Examine forecasting needs for transmission reliability planning and facilitate					
	ways to meet those needs.					
1.5	Understand impacts of load forecasting such as on loss of load expectation					
	analyses for Michigan, generation and transmission.			Moser?		

	2.0 Deliverable: Review and agree on expectations for MTEP 09 for Lower Peninsula, in terms of load forecast, process, and information exchange.					
	Priority = High; Urgency = High		T	1 1 / 1/	ı	
				Lead / Key		
		Status	Due Date	Contacts	Completed	
2.1	Reach consensus on load forecast for Lower Peninsula for MTEP 09 reliability					
	models (2, 5 and 10 year models). Agree on cutoff date for forecast updates					
	for MTEP 09 planning cycle.	Plan to discuss 10/28	1/1/2009	Chatterjee?		
2.2	Review MTEP 09 process and timing; reporting requirements; information needs					
	and opportunities for comment and review; methods and timing for submitting					
	information or data requests and providing responses; other expectations; if					
	appropriate, memorialize expectations in writing.	Plan to discuss 10/28	1/1/2009			

Last Updated: _9/25/08____

DRAFT WORKPLAN / OPEN ISSUES LIST Information Sharing and Local Planning Assumptions Workgroup

3.0	Deliverable: Discuss and attempt to reach consensus on application of planning	g criteria, methodologi	es, and assumptions			
	Priority = High; Urgency = Medium					
					Lead / Key	
	Task	Status	Due D	ate	Contacts	Completed
3.1	Review and discuss applicable planning standards, criteria and methodologies					-
	as applied to the Michigan system; Compare planning criteria among entities					
	across MISO (and PJM?) footprint(s).					
3.2	Identify areas of disagreement or lack of clarity and resolve those issues if					
	possible. If consensus reached, propose changes to criteria or assumptions if					
	appropriate.					
3.3						
	Implement any changes in transmission planning process (project identification		Prior to			
	and review)	1	of MTF	P 2010		1

4.0	4.0 Deliverable: Convene informational and policy forum on CEII and confidential data access issues						
	Priority = Medium; Urgency = Low						
				Lead / Key			
	Task	Status	Due Date	Contacts	Completed		
4.1	Select date of forum.	not started	Mar-09)			
	Review key orders, agreements, and documents related to CEII and data access						
4.2	issues.						
4.3	Identify speakers/panels and key policy or implementation issues for discussion.		Apr-09	1			
4.4	Convene open forum.		Jun-09)			

Last Updated: _9/25/08____